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1. Methodology

Control Union Certifications (CUC), a member of the Control Union World Group is an international inspection and certification body and is accredited by the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) to carry out audit and certification according to the CUC Forestry Standards. CUC performs inspection and certification in the fields of FSC, organic production, input, sustainable textile production, Organic Exchange, GLOBALGAP, HACCP, BRC, GMP and GTP. CUC is also accredited by the Dutch Council of Accreditation (RVA) on the European quality standard EN 45011 for the inspection and certification of CUC Organic program (according to the EU regulation 2092/91) and GLOBALGAP program. When requested a copy of the accreditation certificate can be obtained from CUC.

Audit and certification is carried out in conformity with the procedures as laid down in the Procedure Manual and the program manual for the auditor and certifier. During the audit the qualified CUC auditors use standardised audit forms to record their findings.

Based on the information provided by the auditor and by the client, the certifier reviews and evaluates all information provided and certifies the products when all conditions of the regulations are fulfilled. The result of the evaluation is documented in Chapter 8. Audit work by the auditor and certification by the certifier are clearly separated activities.

2. Report

This report is the result of the findings of a certification evaluation carried out by an independent team of experts representing Control Union Certifications. The purpose of the assessment was to monitor PT Narkata Rimba’s continued conformity with applicable certification requirements of the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC). The report is made in accordance with standard FSC-STD-20-007 v3-0 (Forest management evaluations), FSC-STD-20-007A v1-0 (Forest certification reports) and FSC-STD-20-007B v1-0 (Forest certification public summary reports).

The first part (chapters 1 - 8) is the public summary of the report, which is published on the FSC database of registered certificates (www.fsc-info.org). The full audit report (including the public summary) is sent to the client and contains detailed information about the client’s forest management. The full report can only be reviewed by authorized Control Union and FSC staff and reviewers who are bound by confidentiality agreements.

In case of any complaint or appeal with respect to findings and certification decisions taken by CUC, a dispute protocol and form is available on the CUC website (http://cucpublications.controlunion.com/Publications.aspx) and can also be provided on request.
3. Conversion table

Data presented in this report should be in metric system units. If non metric system units are used, the following conversion rates shall be applied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Imperial</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Imperial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td></td>
<td>Area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25,4 mm=0,0254 m</td>
<td>1 inch</td>
<td>6,45 cm²=0,00065 m²</td>
<td>1 sq inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000 m</td>
<td>39,37 inches</td>
<td>1,000 cm²</td>
<td>0,1550 sq inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30,48 cm=0,3048 m</td>
<td>1 foot=12 inches</td>
<td>0,09290 m²</td>
<td>1 sq foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000 m</td>
<td>3,2808 feet</td>
<td>1,0000 m²</td>
<td>10,764 sq foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,9144 m</td>
<td>1 yard=3 feet</td>
<td>0,8361 m²</td>
<td>1 sq yard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,6093km=1609,3m</td>
<td>1 mile</td>
<td>1,0000 m²</td>
<td>1,1960 sq yard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000km</td>
<td>0,6214 mile</td>
<td>2,5900 km²</td>
<td>1 sq mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,0000 km²</td>
<td>0,3861 sq mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td></td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28,350 g</td>
<td>1 ounce</td>
<td>0,02832 m³</td>
<td>1 cbc foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 g=0,001 kg</td>
<td>0,035274 ounce</td>
<td>1,0000 m³</td>
<td>35,315 cbc foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,45359 kg</td>
<td>1 pound</td>
<td>0,7646 m³</td>
<td>1 cbc yard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 kg</td>
<td>2,2046 ponds</td>
<td>1,0000 m³</td>
<td>1,3080 cbc yard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,016 ton</td>
<td>1 long ton</td>
<td>0,56826 l</td>
<td>1 pint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000 ton</td>
<td>0,9842 long ton</td>
<td>1 l=0,001 m³</td>
<td>1,75976 pints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,546 l</td>
<td>1 gallon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 l</td>
<td>0,21997 gallon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Significant changes since last evaluation

4.1 Changes in the scope of the certificate, the management and/or harvesting methods

Certified forest area under scope

A new area is added to the company’s management unit of Narkata Rimba. The area increased with 24.385 ha, changing the total area from 41.540 to currently 65.925 ha. During the audit it has been assessed if the new area is also managed according to the FSC requirements, and if all pre-conditions identified in the previous audit have been addressed. As can be seen under section 7.1.1 all preconditions for the new area is now closed and the certification scope has been extended to 65.925 ha on 01-12-15.

This area falls already under the protection and responsibilities of the company. After gaining the concessions, the company developed the management plan for the next year in which the new area is included. There is one management plan, based on the same principles are the existing management plan. In the new area harvested occurred following the government permit, the material has been sold without any FSC claims. Further, the area has been protected and local stakeholders have been consulted about the company’s activities in the area. Concluding, there is no risk of activities that do not comply with FSC requirements, as the company use the same way of practice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Narkata Rimba - Forest areas</th>
<th>ha</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total concession area</td>
<td>65925</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total production area</td>
<td>59027</td>
<td>89,5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protected areas</td>
<td>6898</td>
<td>10,5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ecological protection forests (no production)</td>
<td>3960</td>
<td>6,0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- riparian zone (large rivers)</td>
<td>753</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- riparian zone (small rivers)</td>
<td>582</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- steep slopes</td>
<td>617</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- germplasm protected areas</td>
<td>1009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- buffer zone</td>
<td>999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Other protection forests</td>
<td>2938</td>
<td>4,5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- seed ochard</td>
<td>642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- home range</td>
<td>772</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- permanent sample plots</td>
<td>635</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- old bushy area</td>
<td>889</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The new boundaries of the Narkata Rimba consessions now provide a total area of 65.925 ha to be managed by the company. The management plan shows that more than the minimum FSC requirement of 10% of the area has a protection status. More than 5% of the area is completely protected for ecological reasons.
Forest
The new area is natural forests just like the ‘old’ area. This area has, at least partially, been logged over more intensively. This is reflected in the draft management plan showing that the annual allowable cut for the new area is lower than for the existing area. There are no other fundamental changes in the forest characteristics.

Land ownership and use rights
The concession agreement has been received for the new area. The company has prepared a management plan for the area. Government approval for activities area available. Local people are consulted on the land-use rights and the usage of this area. The company and audit team have not identified concerns of local people threats to their resources or use rights in the area which is added the FMU of Narkata Rimba.

National legislation
No changes occurred. The company keeps up to date with the legislation.

Conflicts
No conflicts were identified during this audit in the existing or added areas under the responsibility of the management of Narkata Rimba.

Management structures
No changes on management level occurred.

Management system
No changes occurred since the last audit.

Harvesting methods or intensity, silvicultural methods used and other important changes relevant to forest management of the certificate holder
The company increased the areas of the annual working blocks due to the larger area. The methodology has remained the same as the company could show based on the 2015 activities and the plans for 2016.

Operational plans for the next 12 months
The company has prepared the harvesting plan for the upcoming 12 months in line with previous working activities. The approved harvest for 2015 is 57,313 m³. The realization will be below this number, looking at the progress till November.

Inventory and harvesting records
The planned and approved harvest for 2014 was 43000m³. The realized harvest was 31000m³ due to low saw-mill demands. The allowed harvest for 2015 of 57,313 m³ is based on 0.54% of the inventory measured for the harvesting blocks of 2015. General inventories are conducted for calculating forest growth and monitoring forest development.
Other changes:
No other changes occurred since the last audit.

4.2 Chemical pesticides used within the forest area since last audit
No chemical pesticides used since last audit.

4.3 Exclusion of areas from the scope of certificate since last audit
No areas excluded since last audit.

5. Standards

5.1 Standards used

The standard used for this surveillance audit is the FSC Harmonised Certification Bodies’ Forest Stewardship Standard for the Republic of Indonesia (FSC-STD-IDN-01-2013 Indonesia Natural, Plantations and SLIMF EN Harmonised). This standard(s) can be found as an Annex to this report (Annex I) and is also available on the website of CUC (http://cucpublications.controlunion.com/Publications.aspx). This document can also be provided by CUC on request.

Where applicable, CUC shall use the standards in combination with other FSC requirements, such as “Requirements for use of the FSC trademarks by Certificate Holders” (FSC-STD-50-001 Version 1-2), “FSC Standard for group entities in forest management groups” (FSC-STD-30-005 Version 1-0), FSC Policy on “The excision of areas from the scope of certification” (FSC-POL-20-003 (2004)), FSC Policy on “Partial certification of large ownerships” (FSC-POL-20-002 (2000)) and/or FSC Pesticides Policy (2005) (FSC-POL-30-001). All these documents can be downloaded from the FSC website (www.fsc.org) or can be provided by CUC on request.

5.2 Adaptation of the standard since last audit

Not applicable as the standard used for the evaluation being a harmonized standard. The harmonization and the stakeholder consultation were undertaken by FSC itself.
6. The evaluation process

6.1 Audit team and qualifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualifications</th>
<th>Bernd Slesazeck</th>
<th>Lead auditor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control Union lead auditor for FSC FM and COC. Employee of Control Union since 2010, responsibilities included certifier for the FSC COC program. Previous work experience includes the Dutch Timber Procurement Assessment Committee (which assessed FSC and other sustainable FM schemes). MSc Forest and Nature Conservations at Wageningen University.</td>
<td>[Control Union lead auditor for FSC FM and COC. Employee of Control Union since 2010, responsibilities included certifier for the FSC COC program. Previous work experience includes the Dutch Timber Procurement Assessment Committee (which assessed FSC and other sustainable FM schemes). MSc Forest and Nature Conservations at Wageningen University.]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualifications</th>
<th>Mr. Muhammad Fajar</th>
<th>translator and local expert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control Union auditor for since 2014 for FSC, IFCC, PEFC, SVLK, RSPO, Global Gap. Two years of experience as IFCC auditor. BSc in Forestry at Bogor Agriculture Institute.</td>
<td>[Control Union auditor for since 2014 for FSC, IFCC, PEFC, SVLK, RSPO, Global Gap. Two years of experience as IFCC auditor. BSc in Forestry at Bogor Agriculture Institute.]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Qualifications</th>
<th>Mr. Arief Ari Wibowo</th>
<th>trainee and translator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Control union auditor since 2015 for IFCC, RSPO, ISPO, RFS2, Organic, Utz Certified. 4 years experiences in agroforestry industry as field coordinator. Bachelor degree of Agriculture in Padjadjaran University (Indonesia). | [Control union auditor since 2015 for IFCC, RSPO, ISPO, RFS2, Organic, Utz Certified. 4 years experiences in agroforestry industry as field coordinator. Bachelor degree of Agriculture in Padjadjaran University (Indonesia).]

6.2 Description of surveillance audit

Audit method, including approach to evaluation of management systems

During the opening of the audit the planning was finalized. The rest of the first day documents were checked and preparation were made for the field visit. Areas to be visited in the field were finalized based on the document review.

In the two following days interviews took place with local stakeholders. Field visits took place in order to gather field observations, information from interviews and crosschecking documents, maps and reality. In the field current harvesting and road maintenance was observed, as well as the general condition of the roads and waterway, the condition of the forests where harvesting activities have stopped recently, and field camps and storage sites. Crosschecking the field findings, documentation and management information was done at the office.

After finalizing the document review and interviews the audit was closed in the evening of the third day.

Sampling system

Not applicable: single certificate.
Itinerary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date &amp; time</th>
<th>Activity, Unit, Location</th>
<th>Persons present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10th 9.00</td>
<td>Opening meeting</td>
<td>NR staff and audit team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.00 Introduction</td>
<td>NR staff and audit team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.00-20.00</td>
<td><strong>Main topics:</strong></td>
<td>NR staff and audit team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- last year NCs (current status);</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- changes in the management;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- training (overview and registrations);</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- sales/ volume control/ logo use.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- ownership and tenure rights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- rights of local people</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11th 8.00-17.00</td>
<td>Field visit:</td>
<td>audit team: BS and AAW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Harvesting block of 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Harvesting block of 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Forest field camp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th 9.00-18.00</td>
<td>Local stakeholder visits</td>
<td>NR staff and Audit team: MF local stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Document review and Interview management staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th 8:00 – 11:00</td>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>NR staff and audit team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.00 Closing meeting</td>
<td>NR staff and audit team</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional techniques used for surveillance
Not applicable.

Total number of person days spent on the surveillance

=number of auditors participating X number of days spent in preparation* and on-site visit**):
*: including review of documents, interviewing stakeholders
**: excluding travel to and from the region
12.5 days (3 auditors, 3.5 days at the company, 2 days preparation and documentation review)
6.3 Stakeholder issues
During the audit local people were interviewed to verify if they were informed on the increased area the company will start operating in. No concerns or complaints were identified during these stakeholder meetings.
Before the audit, stakeholders were consulted by email. No comments were received.
During the audit the complaints register was looked into. No other issues were identified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FSC Principle</th>
<th>Stakeholder comment</th>
<th>CUC response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - Compliance with laws and FSC principles</td>
<td>No comments received.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - Tenure and use rights and responsibilities</td>
<td>No comments received.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Indigenous peoples’ rights</td>
<td>No comments received.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - Community relations and workers’ rights</td>
<td>No comments received.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - Benefits from the forest</td>
<td>No comments received.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - Environmental impact</td>
<td>No comments received.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - Management plan</td>
<td>No comments received.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 - Monitoring and assessment</td>
<td>No comments received.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 - Maintenance of high conservation value forests</td>
<td>No comments received.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – Plantations</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chain of Custody</td>
<td>No comments received.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Observations

7.1 Non-conformities and Corrective Action Requests

Minor non-conformity:
- it is a temporary lapse, or
- it is unusual/non-systematic, or
- the impacts of the non-conformity are limited in their temporal and spatial scale, and
- it does not result in a fundamental failure to achieve the objective of the relevant FSC Criterion or another applicable certification requirement.

Minor non-conformities shall be corrected within one (1) year (under exceptional circumstances within two (2) years).

Major non-conformity:
A non-compliance shall be considered major if, either alone or in combination with further non-conformities, it results in, or is likely to result in a fundamental failure:
- to achieve the objective of the relevant requirement of the relevant FSC Criterion, or
- in a significant part of the applied management system.

The cumulative impact of a number of minor NC’s may represent a fundamental failure or total breakdown of a system and thus constitute a major NC.

Fundamental failure is indicated by non-conformity which:
- continues over a long period of time, or
- is repeated or systematic, or
- affects a wide area and/or causes significant damage, or
- is indicated by the absence or a total breakdown of a system, or
- is not corrected or adequately responded to by the client once identified.

Major non-conformities shall be corrected within three (3) months (under exceptional circumstances within six (6) months).

The occurrence of five (5) or more major non-conformities in one surveillance audit shall be considered as a total breakdown of the certificate holder’s management system and in those cases the certificate shall be suspended.

7.1.1 Outstanding non-conformities since previous evaluation report

Below an overview is given of the actions taken by the client/certificate holder to correct any NC’s identified at previous evaluations or subsequently and have remained with the status “open” since the last audit. All NC’s identified at previous evaluations or subsequently that have already been closed before can be found in previous evaluation reports.
For each NC a description of its current status is given. In the case a minor NC has not been settled within the given timeframe (i.e. the certificate holder has either not met or has partially met the Corrective Action Request – CAR), it has been upgraded to a major NC. If a major NC has not been settled within the given timeframe, the certificate shall get suspended.
Nº NC: 2014-01  |  Standard Indicators: 4.2.6  |  Category: Major
---|---|---
Date found: 06-06-2014  |  Deadline for correction: 05-09-2014 

**Description of indicator:**
Where workers stay in camps, conditions for accommodation and nutrition shall comply at least with those specified in the ILO Code of Practice on Safety and Health in Forestry Work.

**Description of non-conformity:**
Conditions in camps used by the contractors do not meet the conditions specified in the ILO code of Practice regarding camp hygiene.

**Corrective action request:** It shall be guaranteed that this NC is corrected and corrective action(s) has/have been carried out on the causes of this NC to minimize recurrence.

**Evidence received, and analysis of corrections and corrective actions provided for NC closure:**
Documents received on 03-09-2014 via email (analysis and pictures):
This document shows that the company already construct the new building for the workers. Seen the old camp and the new camp. It can be seen through the photographs that the company is constructing the building in line with the standards (FSC FM/ILO).

During the audit (13-11-2015):
See repeated Major NC 2015-01

Status: CLOSED 04-09-2014

---

Nº NC: 2014-02*  |  Standard Indicators: 4.2.13  |  Category: Major
---|---|---
Date found: 06-06-2014  |  Deadline for correction: 05-09-2015 
(upgraded from a minor to a major on 05-06-2015)

**Description of indicator:**
The FME shall ensure that all employees and contractors have social insurance (or equivalent) to provide compensation and/or ongoing support in the event of redundancy or work place injury.

**Description of non-conformity:**
The company shall ensure that working conditions and rights meet the minimum requirements of FSC. Narkata Rimba could not show assessment of meeting the requirements of compensation after permanent injury.

**Corrective action request:** It shall be guaranteed that this NC is corrected and corrective action(s) has/have been carried out on the causes of this NC to minimize recurrence.

**Evidence received, and analysis of corrections and corrective actions provided for NC closure:**
During the audit: 13-11-2015
The company has made a management commitment that personal (also temporarily workers), will be given work which is in line with their conditions.
One person who is still recovering from an accident has been provided work.
   Evidence: General policy - providing work who got permanent injuries - January 2015.
Interview HR and general director.

Status: Closed during the audit (13-11-2015)
Nº NC: 2014-03 | Standard Indicators: 6.2.9 | Category: Major

Date found: 06-06-2014 | Deadline for correction: Pre-condition as per FSC standard interpretation published on Tuesday, 07. October 2014

Description of indicator:
6.2.9: Selection of conservation zones and protected areas is justified in terms of their potential to maximize their contribution to the maintenance or enhancement of biodiversity.

Description of non-conformity:
The company cannot show a strategy to identifying zones which shall be protected from harvesting in the new forest area.

Corrective action request: It shall be guaranteed that this NC is corrected and corrective action(s) has/have been carried out on the causes of this NC to minimize recurrence.

Evidence received, and analysis of corrections and corrective actions provided for NC closure:
Before the audit:
A new methodology is developed and planned. During the existing work this can be incorporated. All related to NC 2013-03 and 2014-04 are to be completed by August 2015. NC to be settled after full implementation is in place.

Document received on 03-09-2014 via email:
PLAN_for_Major_03___07.xlsx
REPLY_Evidence_NC_2014_03___07.docx

During the audit: 13-11-2015
An HCV identification has been carried out by an external party. The results are used to identify HCV management requirements and protection zones.

Evidence: HCV assessment Okt. 2015 (IDEAS), including maps of habitats and valuable areas.
Maps within revised RKU (10y management plan 2011-2020) showing protection zones.
Interview FSC manager.

Status: Closed during the audit (13-11-2015)

Nº NC: 2014-04 | Standard Indicators: 6.2.3, 6.2.4, 6.2.6, 6.2.10 | Category: Major

Date found: 06-06-2014 | Deadline for correction: Pre-condition as per FSC standard interpretation published on Tuesday, 07. October 2014

Description of indicators:
6.2.3 - Appropriate to the scale and intensity of management, Conservation zones, Protection areas or other protection measures shall be established based on Technically sound requirements for the protection of rare, threatened and endangered species and their habitats.
6.2.4 - Conservation zones shall have been identified and marked on maps, and where necessary, on the ground.
6.2.6 - At least 10% of the forest area is designated as a conservation zone, identified on maps, and managed with biodiversity as a major objective.
Note: conservation zones are not necessarily forestland. They may include wetlands and open space, and may have dual purposes.
6.2.7 - At least half of this area (i.e. 5% of the total forest area) is designated as a protected
area, identified on maps, and is fully protected from commercial harvesting.

6.2.10 - The movement of key plant and animal species between reserved and harvested areas is encouraged by retaining corridors of uncut forest based on stream sides with links up slopes and across ridges to connecting any large patches of forest which will not be harvested.

Description of non-conformity:
The strategy to identify protection zones is currently missing with regards to the new area:
- 6.2.3 - a clear, founded strategy to identify possible key species habitats;
- 6.2.4 - Maps of protection zones following the requirements (see also 6.2.3/6/7/9/10)
- 6.2.6 - the selection and mapping conservation zones (of 10% of the total area or more);
- 6.2.7 - the selection and mapping of conservation zone completely protected from harvesting (5% or more);
- 6.2.10 - an analysis on the need and potential locations for corridors (or justification of the absence of an added value of corridors)

Requirement is in place for the old area.

Corrective action request: It shall be guaranteed that this NC is corrected and corrective action(s) has/have been carried out on the causes of this NC to minimize recurrence.

Evidence received, and analysis of corrections and corrective actions provided for NC closure:

Before the audit:
A new methodology is developed and planned. During the existing work this can be incorporated. All related to NC 2013-03 and 2014-04 are to be completed by August 2015. NC to be settled after full implementation is in place.

Evidence: Document received on 03-09-2014 via email:
PLAN_for_Major_03___07.xlsx ; REPLY_Evidence_NC_2014_03___07.docx

During the audit: 13-11-2015
One topic remains open: the identified protection area with biodiversity as a major objective does not meet the required 10% threshold.
All other topics are addressed: An HCV identification has been carried out by an external party. 3 identification (including maps) of key-species is addressed (3). The results are used to identify HCV management requirements and protection zones. Based on the report protection zones are identified (4, 7, 10).
Corridors are identified, further a project of TNC is looking into connecting the corridors of all bordering land-owners.

Evidence seen during the audit:
>HCV assessment Oct. 2015 (IDEAS), including maps of habitats and valuable areas.
>Maps within revised RKU (10y management plan 2011-2020) showing protection zones.
Interview FSC manager.
> MOA 9 concession holders on landscape protection -17-4-2015- incl. map with proposed corridors and orangutan habits.

after audit:
The NC can be settled: the last open topic is addressed: the 10% threshold for protected areas are described and mapped.

Evidence sent: maps of protected areas:
1.KEBIJAKAN KAWASAN LINDUNG (maps) ; 2.TABEL KAWASAN LINDUNG (table)
3.PETA HCVF NR KAWASAN LINDUNG (management statement on the 10% threshold)

Status: Closed (01-12-2015)
### Nº NC: 2014-05*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Indicators:</th>
<th>6.3.1</th>
<th>Category: Major</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date found:</td>
<td>06-06-2014</td>
<td>Deadline for correction: 05-09-2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(upgraded from a minor to a major on 05-06-2015)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Description of indicator:
The forest manager shall have site-specific data or published analyses of local forest ecosystems that provide information on the FMU with regards to:
- Regeneration and succession;
- Genetic, species and ecosystem diversity; and,
- Natural cycles that affect productivity

#### Description of non-conformity:
The data collected on pre- and post-harvesting and in the permanent sample plots does not allow analysis of the long-term development of the species regeneration, succession and species- and ecosystem diversity.

*Minor because data is being collected (related to NC 8.2 on monitoring significant changes)*

#### Corrective action request:
It shall be guaranteed that this NC is corrected and corrective action(s) has/have been carried out on the causes of this NC to minimize recurrence.

#### Evidence received, and analysis of corrections and corrective actions provided for NC closure:
**During the audit: 13-11-2015**

The new data collection methodology has been developed and executed. The method and report lay-out show that conclusion on the status and development can be measured and analyzed.

**Evidence:**
- vegetation monitoring blok BKT 2014 (Laporan Analisa Blok BKT 2014):
  - p2 Goal is to analyze the long-term development of the species regeneration, succession and species- and ecosystem diversity
  - p7 method (e.g. Shannon Wiener index)
  - p24 summary (e.g. dominance index/structure has not sign. changed before and after harvesting)
- interview FSC coordinator and pre-post harvesting team members.
- field observations of monitoring plots in RKT 2014.

**Status:** Closed during the audit (13-11-2015)

### Nº NC: 2014-06

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Indicators:</th>
<th>6.4.1</th>
<th>Category: Major</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date found:</td>
<td>06-06-2014</td>
<td>Deadline for correction: Pre-condition as per FSC standard interpretation published on Tuesday, 07. October 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Description of indicator:
The FME shall have carried out an assessment in the FMU in order to identify and map representative samples of existing ecosystems within the landscape in their natural state, appropriate to the scale and intensity of operations and the uniqueness of the affected resources.

#### Description of non-conformity:
The strategy to identify protection zones shall at least include:
- Analysis of the presence of representative samples the present ecosystem.

*Minor: related to Major NC's 2014-03 and 2014-04*
Corrective action request: It shall be guaranteed that this NC is corrected and corrective action(s) has/have been carried out on the causes of this NC to minimize recurrence.

Evidence received, and analysis of corrections and corrective actions provided for NC closure:

During the audit: 13-11-2015

An HCV identification has been carried out by an external party. The results are used to identify HCV management requirements and protection zones.

Evidence:
- HCV assessment Okt. 2015 (IDEAS), including maps of habitats and valuable areas.
- Maps within revised RKU (10y management plan 2011-2020) showing protection zones.
- Interview FSC manager.

Status: Closed during the audit (13-11-2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº NC: 2014-07</th>
<th>Standard Indicators: 8.2.3,8.2.4</th>
<th>Category: Major</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date found: 06-06-2014</td>
<td>Deadline for correction: 05-09-2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of indicator:
8.2.3: The data collected during pre- and post-harvest inventory and general inventory shall be sufficient to identify and describe significant changes in the forest flora over time
8.2.4: The enterprise shall have a documented system for collecting data on the presence of key species of fauna within the FMU, sufficient to identify and describe significant changes in populations over time.

Description of non-conformity:
The company does not have a system in place which can identify major significant changes; based on the current monitoring data and analysis this is not possible. This is specifically related to:
-8.2.3- significant changes in the development of the vegetation after harvesting, including the development of future commercial trees.
-8.2.4- significant changes in the populations of identified key species (protected species, especially HCV species).

Herewith the company can strengthen the assumption that RIL measures in combination with additional protection measures are sufficient to protect or enhance HCV values.

Corrective action request: It shall be guaranteed that this NC is corrected and corrective action(s) has/have been carried out on the causes of this NC to minimize recurrence.

Evidence received, and analysis of corrections and corrective actions provided for NC closure:

Before the audit:
Improved planning and understanding of the use of monitoring information is observed. During the audit, Minor NCs on monitoring to see if follow-up on monitoring results is possible, and implemented.

From the reports, it can be seen that the company has system to measure the significant changes on the wildlife and vegetation.
From the vegetation that they are implementing a monitoring activities in the permanent line. This monitoring is conducted started at year 0 after harvesting, year 1 after harvesting, and year 2 after harvesting. Then sighted through the reports that they are make an analysis on vegetation dynamics of 4 level of vegetation (seedlings, sapling, poles, trees). It is also showing the Important
Value Index per species and their trend time by time. The retention activities are 3 years, counted from year 0 to year 2. After 3 years they stop the monitoring activities due to an assumption that there are no dynamics changing anymore. This method is referring to the Measurement on Important Value Index method on the forest ecology. For the wildlife, they are doing a monitoring in the Harvesting Block for year 0 after harvesting and the other harvesting block which are still in the 3 years retention time. Example: Monitored for year 0 at the harvesting block year 2011, year 1 for harvesting block 2010, and year 2 for harvesting block 2009. They are doing this monitoring per monthly basis. After 6 months these data will be analyzed using a method named Biodiversity Index. By this method they will know the wildlife dynamics per semester.

Since the system to measures the vegetation and the wildlife are perceived as sufficient, so that the NC can be closed.

Evidence: Documents received on 02-09-2014:

During the audit (13-11-2015):
No non-compliance was observed during the audit.

Status: Closed (04-09-14)
### Nº NC: 2014-09

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Indicators:</th>
<th>Category: Minor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Date found:** 06-06-2014  
**Deadline for correction:** 05-06-2015

**Description of indicator:**
Sales invoices and other documentation related to the sale, shipping and transport of certified products shall include the chain of custody certificate code in the correct format (e.g. CB Name-FM/COC-XXXX).

**Description of non-conformity:**
The company does not include the FSC claim (FSC 100%) on the invoices, if the material is sold as FSC.

**Corrective action request:** It shall be guaranteed that this NC is corrected and corrective action(s) has/have been carried out on the causes of this NC to minimize recurrence.

**Evidence received, and analysis of corrections and corrective actions provided for NC closure:**
- Correct invoice has been observed: all invoices state the claim of the products.

**Evidence:**
Document PT_NR_NC_2014_09.rar contains:
1. Jawaban NC_2014_09 PT. NR (client's response on NC 2014-09)
2. REKAP INVOICE 2014 - NR FSC (2014 list of FSC products Invoices)
3. copies of FSC products invoices (05, 06, 08, 09, 13, 18)

**During the audit (13-11-2015):**
Invoices are with correct claims. The responsible people demonstrates awareness.

**Status:** CLOSED (05-06-15)

### Nº NC: 2014-10*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Indicators:</th>
<th>Category: Major</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Date found:** 06-06-2014  
**Deadline for correction:** 05-09-2015

*(upgraded from a minor to a major on 05-06-2015)*

**Description of indicator:**
The management shall be able to demonstrate that the monitoring results as specified under Criteria 8.1 and 8.2 are incorporated into the revision of the management planning.

**Description of non-conformity:**
Monitoring results do not lead to recommendations or adaptations to improve of management planning, or to improve long and short term revenues.

*This NC is graded a minor because in the field examples could be shows where monitoring results were used to follow-up, on e.g. erosion control.*
Evidence: monitoring results without conclusions and recommendations.
interviews management.

Corrective action request: It shall be guaranteed that this NC is corrected and corrective action(s) has/have been carried out on the causes of this NC to minimize recurrence.

Evidence received, and analysis of corrections and corrective actions provided for NC closure:
The new monitoring method is in potential a useful tool for monitoring forest development to support management decisions.
Furthermore the management could show how results of monitoring and discussion between managers have resulted in adapting the RIL training given in 2015. Employees showed increased awareness, e.g. on safe and effective working (less damage to forest). Also the identification HCV report has been used to make management decisions.

Evidence:
> RIL refreshment training 5-5-2015
> interviews production manager, FSC manager, block manager and chainsaw and skidder operator.
> HCV assessment Okt. 2015 – and maps

Status: Closed during the audit (13-11-2015)

Note: The certificate was suspended on 06-09-15 as Four Major non-conformities (NC 2014-02, NC 2014-05, NC 2014-08 and NC 2014-10) have passed the deadline on 05-09-15 as CUC have not received any, or insufficient, documented evidence in regards to closing these. The certificate was later reinstated on 01-12-15 with the scope extension for 65,925 ha after all outstanding NCs (including the pre-conditions for the new area) being verified at the surveillance audit 2015.
Report number: 813446FSC.FM.CUSRPT-2015-01-BS ENG

7.1.2 Identified non-conformities during present evaluation

Non-conformities (NC's) identified during this Surveillance evaluation are listed below. In case the client has provided sufficient evidence during the audit process (i.e. before the report was closed), the status of the NC is set on closed. In all other cases the NC has to be closed within the given timeframe.

Each element (indicator) of the Standard is evaluated by means of a checklist. Please refer to the annexed checklist for details (Annex 1, confidential part).

As per FSC Standard Interpretation dated Tuesday, 07. October 2014 issued against Normative Reference: FSC-STD-20-001; Clause 7.5 the Major NC added to the new area is considered as Pre-conditions if the major non-conformity is linked to the area to be added. If the major non-conformity is independent of the area to be added, but linked to the overall management system, the area can be added to the certificate before the non-conformity is closed considering as a normal major NC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº NC: 2015-01</th>
<th>Standard Indicators: 4.2.6</th>
<th>Category: MAJOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date found: 13-11-2015</td>
<td>Deadline for correction: 12-12-2016 (1 months from the closing meeting)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of indicator:
Where workers stay in camps, conditions for accommodation and nutrition shall comply at least with those specified in the ILO Code of Practice on Safety and Health in Forestry Work.

Description of non-conformity:
The company cannot show it has verified if the temporarily fly-camp meets the relevant ILO conditions. Drinking water conditions (which is not separated from water used for washing) and cooking conditions are not in line with ILO guidance.

* Repeated NC (related to NC 2014-01) Major NC with reduced deadline given as per CU procedure.

Corrective action request: It shall be guaranteed that this NC is corrected and corrective action(s) has/have been carried out on the causes of this NC to minimize recurrence.

Evidence received, and analysis of corrections and corrective actions provided for NC closure:
Documents show sufficient implementation of improved condition and enhanced procedures and control by the management.

Evidence
1: steps taken (summary of process of solving NC)
2: training registrations
3: new procedure related to camp conditions

Status: CLOSED (20-11-2015)
### Nº NC: 2015-02

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Indicators: 5.1.1 / 5.1.3</th>
<th>Category: Minor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date found: 13-11-2015</td>
<td>Deadline for correction: 12-11-2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of indicator:**

5.1.1: There shall be a budget showing the expected costs and revenues for the FME for at least the current financial year as well as operational costs necessary to maintain certifiable status (e.g. management planning, road maintenance, silvicultural treatments, long-term forest health, growth and yield monitoring, and conservation investments).

5.1.3: The revenue estimates shall be based on all available information, and consistent with the expected rate of harvest of forest products and/or provision of other products or services.

**Description of non-conformity:**

An annual budget and estimated revenue was not available during the audit.

**Note:** there is no proof of incompliance, there is a higher organization level responsible which could at time of the audit not provide (confidential) information.

When sufficient information is acquired, the NC can be settled.

**Corrective action request:** It shall be guaranteed that this NC is corrected and corrective action(s) has/have been carried out on the causes of this NC to minimize recurrence.

**Evidence received, and analysis of corrections and corrective actions provided for NC closure:**

- 

**Status:** -

---

### Nº NC: 2015-03

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Indicators: 6.7.2</th>
<th>Category: Minor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date found: 13-11-2015</td>
<td>Deadline for correction: 12-11-2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of indicator:**

6.7.2 There shall be a documented system in place for collecting and keeping such waste [chemicals, containers, liquid and solid non-organic wastes including fuel and oil] safely, and for safe transportation to the listed locations (see 6.7.1) for disposal.

**Description of non-conformity:**

Waste collection is not in line with companies and FSC guidelines on a few small topics:

- Some batteries have been kept for long period (over 1 year) in a storage without air-conditioning, which causes unsafe conditions due to the danger of rusting and leaking heavy metals.
- Storage of old parts leaking oil (main camp and camp 76) and batteries (camp 76) on wooden floors is not in line with waste guidelines;
- Not all oil drums are standing on concrete underground (observed next to pits where oil is collected in the main camp and camp 76);

**Corrective action request:** It shall be guaranteed that this NC is corrected and corrective action(s) has/have been carried out on the causes of this NC to minimize recurrence.

**Evidence received, and analysis of corrections and corrective actions provided for NC closure:**

- 

**Status:** OPEN
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº NC: 2015-04</th>
<th>Standard Indicators: TMK 1.15</th>
<th>Category: minor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date found: 13-11-2015</td>
<td>Deadline for correction: 12-5-2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of indicator:**
The use of the FSC “checkmark-and-tree” logo shall be directly accompanied by the trademark symbols ® or ™ (in superscript font). The symbol, which represents the registration status of an FSC trademark in the country in which FSC certified products or materials are to be distributed, is an intrinsic part of the logo. The appropriate symbol shall also be added to “FSC” or “Forest Stewardship Council” for the first use in any text.

**Description of non-conformity:**
The company is using the old ™ trademark symbol and has not changed this to the ® symbol as required since the beginning of 2015.

**Corrective action request:** It shall be guaranteed that this NC is corrected and corrective action(s) has/have been carried out on the causes of this NC to minimize recurrence.

**Evidence received, and analysis of corrections and corrective actions provided for NC closure:**
The logo has been adapted and approved.
The responsible person was aware of the requirements (but did request the adapted logo after the FSC trademark symbol for Indonesia changed early 2015).

**Status:** Closed 07-02-16
7.2 Observations

Observations:
The early stages of a problem which do not of itself constitute a non-conformity, but which the auditor considers may lead to a future non-conformity if not addressed by the client. Therefore an observation concerns a warning signal on a particular issue that, if not addressed, could turn into an NC in the future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nº Obs: 2015-01</th>
<th>Standard indicator: 6.5.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date found:</td>
<td>13th of November 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of observation:</td>
<td>After a fire destroyed several office buildings (built from previous owner), the area has been graded for reconstruction of the damaged properties. In this process high risk of erosion is occurring as the building were built close to the stream (within 10 meters). The company should make measure to minimize erosion risk and ensure that new camp construction meets the company’s requirements (including the restricted distance of building close to rivers).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*the CB has requested updated information on the situation within 3 months regarding the erosion protection and planning of buildings.*

7.3 Issues hard to assess
No issues identified.

7.4 Possible issues related to the adaptation of the locally adapted standard
(Only applicable in case a CUC locally adapted standard has been used)

Not applicable: a harmonized standard is now in place instead of the CUC locally adapted standard.
8. Certification proposal

8.1 Statement

The forest management system, procedures, and techniques of PT Narkata Rimba have been assessed by CUC according to the standard(s) described in chapter 5 of this summary. In the opinion of the lead auditor:

☐ PT Narkata Rimba is in continued conformity with the certification requirements (all NC’s are closed), and certificate should be maintained

☒ PT Narkata Rimba is in conformity with the certification requirements, and certificate should be maintained provided that open NC’s are closed within the given timeframes as mentioned in section 7.1

☐ PT Narkata Rimba is not in conformity with the certification requirements and certificate should be suspended

*Note: the occurrence of five or more major non-conformities in one surveillance evaluation shall be considered as a total breakdown of the company’s management system and the certificate shall be suspended.*

Date of certification: 16-08-2011 (re-issued 01-12-2015)
Expiry date of certificate: 15-08-2016

8.2 Conditions for certification

Once certified, PT Narkata Rimba will be audited at least annually to monitor its continued conformity with all applicable certification requirements. PT Narkata Rimba will also be required to fulfill all the corrective actions (if applicable) within the given timeframes, as mentioned in section 7.1.